

Equatorial symmetry/antisymmetry of stationary axisymmetric electrovac spacetimes. II

Frederick J. Ernst[†], Vladimir S. Manko[‡] and Eduardo Ruiz[§]

[†] FJE Enterprises, 511 CR 59, Potsdam, NY 13676, USA

[‡] Depto. de Física, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del I.P.N.,
A.P. 14-740, 07000 México, D.F., Mexico

[§] Depto. de Física Fundamental, Universidad de Salamanca, 37008 Salamanca, Spain

Abstract. In this second paper devoted to the equatorial symmetry/antisymmetry of stationary axisymmetric electrovac spacetimes we show how two theorems proved in our previous paper (Ernst, Manko and Ruiz 2006 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23** 4945) can be utilized to construct exact solutions that are equatorially symmetric or antisymmetric.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Jb, 04.40.Nr, 95.30.Sf, 02.30.Em

1. Introduction

Theorems which allow one to single out “equatorially symmetric” or “equatorially antisymmetric” spacetimes from the totality of stationary axisymmetric electrovac solutions of the Einstein–Maxwell equations were recently published [1] by Ernst, Manko and Ruiz. Specifically, in terms of the complex Ernst potentials [2] $\mathcal{E}$ and Φ , equatorially symmetric spacetimes satisfy the conditions

$$\mathcal{E}(-z, \rho) = \mathcal{E}(z, \rho)^*, \quad \Phi(-z, \rho) = e^{2i\delta} \Phi(z, \rho)^*, \quad \delta = \text{const}, \quad (1)$$

while equatorially antisymmetric spacetimes satisfy the conditions

$$\mathcal{E}(-z, \rho) = \mathcal{E}(z, \rho), \quad \Phi(-z, \rho) = \pm \Phi(z, \rho). \quad (2)$$

Alternatively, in terms of the axis data $e_+(z)$ and $f_+(z)$ that comprise the values of the potentials $\mathcal{E}$ and Φ on the upper part of the symmetry axis, analytically extended to negative values of z , asymptotically flat stationary axisymmetric solutions with equatorial symmetry were shown to satisfy the relations

$$e_+(z)[e_+(-z)]^* = 1, \quad f_+(z) = -e^{2i\delta}[f_+(-z)]^*e_+(z), \quad (3)$$

while asymptotically NUT equatorially antisymmetric solutions were shown to satisfy the relations

$$e_+(z)e_+(-z) = 1, \quad f_+(z) = \mp f_+(-z)e_+(z). \quad (4)$$

[†] e-mail: scientia@localnet.com

[‡] e-mail: vsmanko@fis.cinvestav.mx

[§] e-mail: eruiz@usal.es

A large number of astrophysically relevant electrovac solutions were considered in the papers [3, 4] and [5, 6]; these correspond to rational axis data, which for some sufficiently large value of N can be expressed in the form

$$e_+(z) = \frac{z^N + \sum_{k=1}^N a_k z^{N-k}}{z^N + \sum_{k=1}^N b_k z^{N-k}} \equiv \frac{P(z)}{R(z)}, \quad (5a)$$

$$f_+(z) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N c_k z^{N-k}}{z^N + \sum_{k=1}^N b_k z^{N-k}} \equiv \frac{Q(z)}{R(z)}, \quad (5b)$$

where a_k, b_k, c_k are arbitrary complex parameters. By systematically exploiting the definition (1) and the condition (3), we shall in this paper construct new equatorially symmetric solutions corresponding to such rational axis data. Similarly, using (2) and (4), we shall construct new equatorially antisymmetric solutions. Finally, we shall discuss the prospects for constructing all equatorially symmetric or antisymmetric solutions that correspond to data (5a) and (5b) with arbitrarily large values of N . In particular, we shall show how this can be accomplished for vacuum fields.

2. Axis data of equatorially symmetric/antisymmetric fields

To single out equatorially symmetric axis data, we first substitute $e_+(z)$ from (5a) into the first condition from (3), whence we obtain

$$P(z) = (-1)^N R^*(-z). \quad (6)$$

From this we obtain the first restriction upon the parameters; namely,

$$a_k = (-1)^k b_k^*, \quad k = 1, \dots, N. \quad (7)$$

The substitution of $e_+(z)$ and $f_+(z)$ from (5a) and (5b) into the second condition from (3) then leads, after taking account of (6), to

$$Q(z) + e^{2i\delta} (-1)^N Q^*(-z) = 0, \quad (8)$$

which imposes a second restriction upon the parameters; namely,

$$c_k + e^{2i\delta} (-1)^k c_k^* = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, N. \quad (9)$$

Turning now to the equatorially antisymmetric case, we first get from (5a), (5b) and (4) the relations

$$P(z) = (-1)^N R(-z) \quad \text{and} \quad Q(z) \mp (-1)^{N+1} Q(-z) = 0, \quad (10)$$

and then obtain from (10) the following restrictions upon the parameters:

$$a_k = (-1)^k b_k, \quad c_k \mp (-1)^{k+1} c_k = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, N. \quad (11)$$

Formulae (7), (9) and (11), which provide the general forms of the axis data (5a) and (5b) in the equatorially symmetric/antisymmetric cases, will simplify the search for examples of axis data from which may be constructed Ernst potentials $\mathcal{E}$ and Φ of spacetimes of particular physical interest.

As an illustration of the use of the above relations let us take $N = 2$ in the axis data (5a) and (5b). From (7) we find that in the equatorially symmetric case the function $e_+(z)$ has the form

$$e_+(z) = \frac{z^2 - b_1^* z + b_2^*}{z^2 + b_1 z + b_2}, \quad (12)$$

while from (9) we see that $f_+(z)$ has the form

$$f_+(z) = \frac{e^{i\delta}(qz + ic)}{z^2 + b_1 z + b_2}, \quad (13)$$

where q and c are arbitrary real constants. On the other hand, in the equatorially antisymmetric case, from (11) one finds that

$$e_+(z) = \frac{z^2 - b_1 z + b_2}{z^2 + b_1 z + b_2}, \quad (14)$$

while for $f_+(z)$ one arrives at the following two possibilities:

$$f_+(z) = \frac{c_2}{z^2 + b_1 z + b_2} \quad (15)$$

and

$$f_+(z) = \frac{c_1 z}{z^2 + b_1 z + b_2}. \quad (16)$$

Note that all parameters in formulae (14)–(16) may be assigned arbitrary complex values.

In the next section we shall determine the Ernst potentials $\mathcal{E}(z, \rho)$ and $\Phi(z, \rho)$ corresponding to three specifications of the axis data; namely, that specified by (12) and (13), that specified by (14) and (15), and that specified by (14) and (16).

3. $\mathcal{E}$ and Φ for rational axis data

The general solution arising from the rational axis data (5a) and (5b) is known [5], but the description of the equatorially symmetric and antisymmetric subfamilies of this solution in terms of the parameters it contains has not yet been treated in any publication. Recall that

$$\mathcal{E} = E_+/E_-, \quad \Phi = F/E_-, \quad (17a)$$

where

$$E_{\pm} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \pm 1 & \frac{r_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} & \cdots & \frac{r_{2N}}{\alpha_{2N} - \beta_1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \pm 1 & \frac{r_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_N} & \cdots & \frac{r_{2N}}{\alpha_{2N} - \beta_N} \\ 0 & \frac{h_1(\alpha_1)}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1^*} & \cdots & \frac{h_1(\alpha_{2N})}{\alpha_{2N} - \beta_1^*} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \frac{h_N(\alpha_1)}{\alpha_1 - \beta_N^*} & \cdots & \frac{h_N(\alpha_{2N})}{\alpha_{2N} - \beta_N^*} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (17b)$$

$$F = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \frac{f(\alpha_1)}{r_1} & \cdots & \frac{f(\alpha_{2N})}{r_{2N}} \\ -1 & \frac{r_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1} & \cdots & \frac{r_{2N}}{\alpha_{2N} - \beta_1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -1 & \frac{r_1}{\alpha_1 - \beta_N} & \cdots & \frac{r_{2N}}{\alpha_{2N} - \beta_N} \\ 0 & \frac{h_1(\alpha_1)}{\alpha_1 - \beta_1^*} & \cdots & \frac{h_1(\alpha_{2N})}{\alpha_{2N} - \beta_1^*} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \frac{h_N(\alpha_1)}{\alpha_1 - \beta_N^*} & \cdots & \frac{h_N(\alpha_{2N})}{\alpha_{2N} - \beta_N^*} \end{vmatrix}, \quad (17c)$$

and

$$r_n = \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z - \alpha_n)^2}, \quad (17d)$$

$$h_l(\alpha_n) = e_l^* + 2f_l^* f(\alpha_n), \quad f(\alpha_n) = \sum_{l=1}^N \frac{f_l}{\alpha_n - \beta_l}, \quad (17e)$$

$$e_l = \frac{2 \prod_{n=1}^{2N} (\beta_l - \alpha_n)}{\prod_{k \neq l}^N (\beta_l - \beta_k) \prod_{k=1}^N (\beta_l - \beta_k^*)} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{f_l f_k^*}{\beta_l - \beta_k^*}. \quad (17f)$$

Here $E_{\pm}$ and F are determinants of order $2N + 1$, the arbitrary parameters are β_l , α_n , f_l , and all other constant objects are expressible in terms of these parameters.

The constants β_l can take on arbitrary complex values, while the α_n take on arbitrary real values or occur in complex conjugate pairs. The parameters f_l are arbitrary complex constants, and together with the β_l they enter the axis data

$$e_+(z) = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^N \frac{e_l}{z - \beta_l}, \quad f_+(z) = \sum_{l=1}^N \frac{f_l}{z - \beta_l}, \quad (18)$$

which is a different but mathematically equivalent representation of the data (5a) and (5b). The two sets of the parameters, $\{\beta_l, \alpha_n, f_l\}$ and $\{\beta_l, e_l, f_l\}$, are mathematically equivalent too, and they are related to each other via the algebraic equation

$$S(z) \equiv e_+(z) + e_+^*(z) + 2f_+(z)f_+^*(z) = 0, \quad (19)$$

which is satisfied identically by the parameters α_n ; i.e., $S(\alpha_n) = 0$, $n = 1, \dots, 2N$.

3.1. Axis data (12) and (13)

The axis data (12) and (13) involves (in addition to the real constant δ which we shall suppress) two complex parameters $\{b_1, b_2\}$ and two real parameters $\{q, c\}$. We shall express the two complex parameters in terms of four real parameters $\{m, a, b, k\}$ that are of more direct physical significance. The axis data then assume the form

$$e_+(z) = \frac{(z - m - ia)(z + ib) - k}{(z + m - ia)(z + ib) - k}, \quad (20a)$$

$$f_+(z) = \frac{qz + ic}{(z + m - ia)(z + ib) - k}. \quad (20b)$$

The solution corresponding to such axis data should be useful for modelling the exterior field of a neutron star, for the mass–quadrupole and angular–momentum–octupole moments it possesses are arbitrary and independent. This is expected to result in a generalization of two exact solutions [7, 8] which were recently shown to be useful in this way [9, 10, 11]. Incidentally, there were various typographical errors in [12] that will be corrected in the present paper.

The first step in obtaining the potentials $\mathcal{E}$ and Φ from the axis values (20a) and (20b) consists in getting the corresponding expressions for α_n in terms of the parameters m, a, b, k, q, c . The substitution of (20a) and (20b) into (19) yields the biquadratic equation

$$z^4 - (m^2 - a^2 - b^2 - q^2 + 2k)z^2 + (k - ab)^2 - b^2m^2 + c^2 = 0, \quad (21)$$

whence we get

$$\alpha_1 = -\alpha_4 = \frac{1}{2}(\kappa_+ + \kappa_-), \quad \alpha_2 = -\alpha_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\kappa_+ - \kappa_-), \quad (22)$$

where

$$\kappa_{\pm} = \sqrt{m^2 - a^2 - b^2 - q^2 + 2k \pm 2d}, \quad d = \sqrt{(k - ab)^2 - b^2m^2 + c^2}, \quad (23)$$

thus also identifying four functions r_n :

$$r_1 = R_-, \quad r_2 = r_-, \quad r_3 = r_+, \quad r_4 = R_+, \quad (24)$$

where

$$R_{\pm} = \sqrt{\rho^2 + [z \pm \frac{1}{2}(\kappa_+ + \kappa_-)]^2}, \quad r_{\pm} = \sqrt{\rho^2 + [z \pm \frac{1}{2}(\kappa_+ - \kappa_-)]^2}. \quad (25)$$

The next step is obtaining the expressions for β_l, e_l and f_l . It is easy to see that the axis data (20a) and (20b) can be rewritten in the form

$$e_+(z) = 1 + \frac{e_1}{z - \beta_1} + \frac{e_2}{z - \beta_2}, \quad f_+(z) = \frac{f_1}{z - \beta_1} + \frac{f_2}{z - \beta_2} \quad (26a)$$

where

$$\beta_1 = -\frac{1}{2}[m - i(a - b) + \sqrt{D}], \quad \beta_2 = -\frac{1}{2}[m - i(a - b) - \sqrt{D}], \quad (26b)$$

$$e_1 = \frac{2m(\beta_1 + ib)}{\beta_2 - \beta_1}, \quad e_2 = \frac{2m(\beta_2 + ib)}{\beta_1 - \beta_2}, \quad (26c)$$

$$f_1 = \frac{q\beta_1 + ic}{\beta_1 - \beta_2}, \quad f_2 = \frac{q\beta_2 + ic}{\beta_2 - \beta_1}, \quad D = [m - i(a + b)]^2 + 4k, \quad (26d)$$

so that now all the quantities entering the determinants (17b) and (17c) are defined in terms of the parameters of the axis data (20a) and (20b) when we take into account that the $f(\alpha_n)$ are obtainable from $f_+(z)$ by simply changing z to α_n ,

$$f(\alpha_n) = \frac{q\alpha_n + ic}{(\alpha_n + m - ia)(\alpha_n + ib) - k}, \quad (27)$$

while $h_l(\alpha_n)$ are combinations of e_l, f_l and $f(\alpha_n)$.

The final step is the expansion of the determinants (17b) and (17c), which in the case $N = 2$ have the order 5, followed by the substitution of $e_l, f_l, \beta_l, f(\alpha_n)$ and

$h_l(\alpha_n)$ as given by the formulae (22), (24), (26a)–(26d) and (27). The evaluation and simplification of the resulting expressions can be carried out with the aid of a symbolic computer programme. The final formulae for $\mathcal{E}$ and Φ are||

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{A - B}{A + B}, \quad \Phi = \frac{C}{A + B}, \quad (28a)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A = & d[m^2 - q^2 - (a - b)^2][\kappa_+^2(R_+r_- + R_-r_+) + \kappa_-^2(R_+r_+ + R_-r_-)] \\ & + [(a - b)^2(ab - k) + (a - b)(cq - bm^2) + m^2b^2 - c^2] \\ & \times [\kappa_+^2(R_+r_- + R_-r_+) - \kappa_-^2(R_+r_+ + R_-r_-)] \\ & + 4d[k(m^2 - q^2) + (c + aq)(bq - c)](R_+R_- + r_+r_-) \\ & + i\kappa_+\kappa_-\{\kappa_+[(a - b)(ab - k - d) - m^2b + cq](R_+r_- - R_-r_+) \\ & + \kappa_-[(a - b)(ab - k + d) - m^2b + cq](R_-r_- - R_+r_+)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (28b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B = & m\kappa_+\kappa_-\{d[\kappa_+\kappa_-(R_+ + R_- + r_+ + r_-) \\ & - (m^2 - a^2 + b^2 - q^2)(R_+ + R_- - r_+ - r_-)] \\ & + ibd[(\kappa_+ + \kappa_-)(R_+ - R_-) + (\kappa_+ - \kappa_-)(r_- - r_+)] \\ & + i(m^2b - cq + ak - a^2b) \\ & \times [(\kappa_+ + \kappa_-)(r_+ - r_-) + (\kappa_+ - \kappa_-)(R_- - R_+)]\}, \end{aligned} \quad (28c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} C = & \kappa_+\kappa_-\{d[q(m^2 - (a - b)^2 - q^2) - 2c(a - b)](r_+ + r_- - R_+ - R_-) \\ & + dq\kappa_+\kappa_-(R_+ + R_- + r_+ + r_-) + icd[(\kappa_+ + \kappa_-)(R_+ - R_-) \\ & + (\kappa_+ - \kappa_-)(r_- - r_+)] + i[(k - ab)(c + aq - bq) + q(m^2b - cq)] \\ & \times [(\kappa_+ + \kappa_-)(r_+ - r_-) + (\kappa_+ - \kappa_-)(R_- - R_+)]\}. \end{aligned} \quad (28d)$$

3.2. Axis data (14) and (15)

Proceeding in a similar way, the axis data (14) and (15) can be reexpressed in the form

$$e_+(z) = \frac{z - k - m - i(a + \nu)}{z - k + m - i(a - \nu)} \cdot \frac{z + k - m + i(a - \nu)}{z + k + m + i(a + \nu)}, \quad (29a)$$

$$f_+(z) = \frac{2(q + ib)z}{[z - k + m - i(a - \nu)][z + k + m + i(a + \nu)]}, \quad (29b)$$

where m , a , ν , k , q and b are arbitrary real parameters. Expressions (29a) and (29b) generalize the axis data of the Bretón–Manko solution [13] and can be interpreted as representing two identical counter-rotating electrically and magnetically charged masses possessing a NUT parameter, m , q and b being, respectively, the individual mass, electric and magnetic charges, a the rotational parameter, ν the NUT parameter and k the separation constant.

|| The expression for A in [12] (see p. 3068) was published with some misprints. However, all the rest of the formulae determining the metric functions (3.11) of [12] are free of misprints, albeit there is a missing right square bracket in the second line of the function D just after the first curly bracket.

Repeating now the steps outlined in the first example, this time starting with the axis data (29a) and (29b), one finally arrives at the following expressions for the potentials $\mathcal{E}$ and Φ :

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{A - B}{A + B}, \quad \Phi = \frac{C}{A + B}, \quad (30a)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A = & (m^2 + \nu^2 - q^2 - b^2) \{ [(m^2 + k^2 + \nu^2 + a^2)^2 - 4(mk + a\nu)^2] \\ & \times (R_+ - R_-)(r_+ - r_-) - \delta\alpha_+\alpha_-(R_+ + R_-)(r_+ + r_-) \} \\ & + 2\alpha_+\alpha_- \{ [(m^2 + \nu^2 - q^2 - b^2)(m^2 - k^2 + a^2 - \nu^2) + 2(m\nu - ka)^2] \\ & \times (R_+R_- + r_+r_-) + 2id(m\nu - ka)(R_+R_- - r_+r_-) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (30b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B = & 4d\alpha_+\alpha_-(m + i\nu) \{ [m^2 + \nu^2 - q^2 - b^2 + i(m\nu - ka)] \\ & \times (R_+ + R_- - r_+ - r_-) - d(R_+ + R_- + r_+ + r_-) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (30c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} C = & 4d\alpha_+\alpha_-(q + ib) \{ [m^2 + \nu^2 - q^2 - b^2 + i(m\nu - ka)] \\ & \times (R_+ + R_- - r_+ - r_-) - d(R_+ + R_- + r_+ + r_-) \} \\ = & (q + ib)B/(m + i\nu), \end{aligned} \quad (30d)$$

and

$$R_{\pm} = \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z \pm \alpha_+)^2}, \quad r_{\pm} = \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z \pm \alpha_-)^2}, \quad (30e)$$

$$\alpha_{\pm} = \sqrt{\delta \pm 2d}, \quad \delta = m^2 + k^2 + 3\nu^2 - a^2 - 2(q^2 + b^2), \quad (30f)$$

$$d = \sqrt{(m^2 + \nu^2 - q^2 - b^2)(k^2 + 2\nu^2 - a^2 - q^2 - b^2) - (m\nu - ka)^2}. \quad (30g)$$

It is easy to verify that the Ernst potentials given by (30a)–(30g) satisfy the conditions

$$\mathcal{E}(-z, \rho) = \mathcal{E}(z, \rho), \quad \Phi(-z, \rho) = \Phi(z, \rho). \quad (31)$$

It should also be pointed out that the solution so defined is not asymptotically flat due to the presence of the non-zero NUT parameter ν . When $\nu = b = 0$, it reduces to the Bretón–Manko electrovac solution [13].

3.3. Axis data (14) and (16)

We can reexpress the axis data (14) and (16) in the form

$$e_+(z) = \frac{z - k - m - i(a + \nu)}{z - k + m - i(a - \nu)} \cdot \frac{z + k - m + i(a - \nu)}{z + k + m + i(a + \nu)}, \quad (32a)$$

$$f_+(z) = \frac{2(\chi + ic)}{[z - k + m - i(a - \nu)][z + k + m + i(a + \nu)]}, \quad (32b)$$

where the four parameters m , a , ν and k have the same meaning as in the previous example, while χ and c describe, respectively, the electric-dipole and magnetic-dipole moments of the sources.

The corresponding expressions for the potentials $\mathcal{E}$ and Φ , obtainable from the determinants (17b) and (17c), have the form

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{A - B}{A + B}, \quad \Phi = \frac{C}{A + B}, \quad (33a)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A = & \{ \delta[(m^2 + \nu^2)^2 + (m\nu - ka)^2] - d^2(3m^2 - k^2 + \nu^2 + a^2) \} \\ & \times (R_+ - R_-)(r_+ - r_-) - \alpha_+ \alpha_- [\delta(m^2 + \nu^2) - \chi^2 - c^2] \\ & \times (R_+ + r_-)(r_+ + r_-) + 2\alpha_+ \alpha_- \{ [(m^2 + \nu^2)^2 + (m\nu - ka)^2 - d^2] \\ & \times (R_+ R_- + r_+ r_-) + 2id(m\nu - ka)(R_+ R_- - r_+ r_-) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (33b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B = & 4d\alpha_+ \alpha_- (m + i\nu) \{ [m^2 + \nu^2 + i(m\nu - ka)] \\ & \times (R_+ + R_- - r_+ - r_-) - d(R_+ + R_- + r_+ + r_-) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (33c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} C = & 4d(\chi + ic) \{ [m^2 + \nu^2 - i(m\nu - ka)] [\alpha_- (R_- - R_+) - \alpha_+ (r_- - r_+)] \\ & + d[\alpha_- (R_- - R_+) + \alpha_+ (r_- - r_+)] \}, \end{aligned} \quad (33d)$$

and

$$R_{\pm} = \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z \pm \alpha_+)^2}, \quad r_{\pm} = \sqrt{\rho^2 + (z \pm \alpha_-)^2}, \quad (33e)$$

$$\alpha_{\pm} = \sqrt{\delta \pm 2d}, \quad \delta = m^2 + k^2 + 3\nu^2 - a^2, \quad (33f)$$

$$d = \sqrt{(m^2 + \nu^2)(k^2 + 2\nu^2 - a^2) - (m\nu - ka)^2 - \chi^2 - c^2}. \quad (33g)$$

Please note that the δ defined in (33f) should not be confused with the phase δ employed in the symmetric case!

The Ernst potentials given by (33a)–(33g) satisfy the conditions

$$\mathcal{E}(-z, \rho) = \mathcal{E}(z, \rho), \quad \Phi(-z, \rho) = -\Phi(z, \rho), \quad (34)$$

and the solution describes two counter-rotating masses endowed with the NUT parameter and with both electric and magnetic dipole moments. When $\nu = 0$, the solution is asymptotically flat.

4. Prospects for a completely general solution

A similar procedure to that which we employed for $N = 2$ should work also for $N = 3$ and $N = 4$, but the question arises whether or not one can solve the general equatorially symmetric/antisymmetric problem for arbitrarily large values of N . At the present time, we do not have an answer to this question, but in this section we shall show that in the vacuum case this is indeed possible, which gives us hope that the same will ultimately be possible in the case of non-vacuum electrovac fields.

Instead of the parameters β_k , the quantities

$$X_n \equiv X(\alpha_n) = \frac{\prod_{l=1}^N (\alpha_n - \beta_l^*)}{\prod_{l=1}^N (\alpha_n - \beta_l)}, \quad (35)$$

can be used in the vacuum solution, in which case the formulae for $\mathcal{E}$ assume the form [3, 6]

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\Lambda + \Gamma}{\Lambda - \Gamma}, \quad (36a)$$

where

$$\Lambda = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_N} \lambda_{i_1 \dots i_N} r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_N}, \quad (36b)$$

$$\Gamma = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{N-1}} \gamma_{i_1 \dots i_{N-1}} r_{i_1} \dots r_{i_{N-1}}, \quad (36c)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{i_1 \dots i_N} &= (-1)^{i_1 + \dots + i_N} V(\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_N}) V(\alpha_{i'_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i'_N}) \prod_{n=1}^N X_{i_n}, \\ &\quad (i'_1 < \dots < i'_N, i' \neq i) \end{aligned} \quad (36d)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{i_1 \dots i_{N-1}} &= (-1)^{i_1 + \dots + i_{N-1}} V(\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_{N-1}}) V(\alpha_{i'_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i'_{N+1}}) \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} X_{i_n}, \\ &\quad (i'_1 < \dots < i'_{N+1}, i' \neq i) \end{aligned} \quad (36e)$$

$$V(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j). \quad (36f)$$

In order to show how the subclasses of the equatorially symmetric or antisymmetric solutions are contained in (36a)–(36f) we must find $2N$ restrictions on the parameters α_n and X_n which would be equivalent to N conditions (7) or (11) on the *complex* constants a_k . The conditions on the parameters α_n can be trivially established since these parameters determine the location of sources on the symmetry axis. Assuming without loss of generality the order

$$\text{Re}(\alpha_1) \geq \text{Re}(\alpha_2) \geq \dots \geq \text{Re}(\alpha_{2N-1}) \geq \text{Re}(\alpha_{2N}) \quad (37)$$

we immediately arrive at N relations between the parameters α_n . These relations are the same in the equatorially symmetric and antisymmetric cases; namely,

$$\alpha_1 = -\alpha_{2N}, \quad \alpha_2 = -\alpha_{2N-1}, \quad \dots, \quad \alpha_N = -\alpha_{N+1}. \quad (38)$$

A simpler way to write this is

$$\alpha_k = -\alpha_{2N-k+1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N. \quad (39)$$

The above conditions determine the equatorially symmetric/antisymmetric character of the mass distribution.

The restrictions on the quantities X_n can be obtained from the vacuum specialization of equation (19); namely

$$e_+(z) + e_+^*(z) = 0 \quad (40)$$

with the aid of the conditions (6) and (10). In the equatorially symmetric case the substitution of

$$e_+(z) = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^N \frac{e_l}{z - \beta_l} = \frac{P(z)}{R(z)} \quad (41)$$

into (40) gives, after taking into account (6),

$$\frac{P(z)}{R(z)} + \frac{P^*(z)}{R^*(z)} = \frac{(-1)^N}{R(z)R^*(z)} [R^*(z)R^*(-z) + R(z)R(-z)] = 0, \quad (42)$$

whence, bearing in mind that α_n satisfy the above equation identically, we get

$$\frac{R^*(\alpha_n)R^*(-\alpha_n)}{R(\alpha_n)R(-\alpha_n)} + 1 = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad X(\alpha_n)X(-\alpha_n) = -1. \quad (43)$$

Therefore, taking into account (39), we arrive at the remaining N restrictions on the parameters X_n ; namely,

$$X_k X_{2N-k+1} = -1, \quad k = 1, \dots, N. \quad (44)$$

In the equatorially antisymmetric case we proceed in the analogous way. From equation (40), the axis data (41) and the first condition from (10), we first arrive at the equation

$$\frac{(-1)^N}{R(z)R^*(z)} [R(z)R^*(-z) + R^*(z)R(-z)] = 0, \quad (45)$$

which is satisfied by all α_n identically. Then we get

$$\frac{R^*(\alpha_n)R(-\alpha_n)}{R(\alpha_n)R^*(-\alpha_n)} + 1 = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad X(\alpha_n) = -X(-\alpha_n), \quad (46)$$

thus arriving at the required restrictions on X_n that characterize the equatorially antisymmetric solutions; namely,

$$X_k = -X_{2N-k+1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N. \quad (47)$$

The above identification of the equatorially symmetric/antisymmetric vacuum soliton solutions, carried out directly in terms of the parameters entering the expression of the Ernst potential defined by formulae (36a)–(36f), permits the consideration of particular configurations with additional equatorial symmetries independently of the corresponding axis data, which sometimes turns out to be very advantageous during the treatment of specific problems. In the papers [14, 15], for instance, the $N = 3$ and $N = 4$ specializations of the relations (39) and (44) were successfully employed for the analysis of equilibrium states in the triple- and quadruple-Kerr solutions.

5. Concluding remarks

In this paper we have emphasized the equatorially symmetric and antisymmetric electrovac solutions constructed from rational axis data. Such solutions have direct relevance to multi-black-hole spacetimes and to the fields of astrophysical objects. When an electrovac solution does not arise from rational axis data, the fundamental theorem proved in our previous paper can be employed to establish whether or not the solution in question is equatorially symmetric/antisymmetric. For example, from the conditions (1) one can very easily establish the equatorial symmetry of the electrovac solution for a charged rotating Curzon mass [16]. We should also like to point out that

J. Sod-Hoffs, who is a student of V. S. Manko, is currently working on the derivation of all the metrical functions for two of our antisymmetric solutions. He intends to submit for publication a paper concerning his analysis of the equilibrium conditions as well as the physical interpretation of these solutions.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by Project 45946-F from CONACyT of Mexico, Project BFM2003-02121 from MCyT and Project SA010C05 from Junta de Castilla y Leon, Spain.

References

- [1] Ernst F J, Manko V S and Ruiz E 2006 Equatorial symmetry/antisymmetry of stationary axisymmetric electrovac spacetimes, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **23** 4945
- [2] Ernst F J 1968 New formulation of the axisly symmetric gravitational field problem. II, *Phys. Rev.* **168** 1415
- [3] Ernst F J 1994 Determining parameters of the Neugebauer family of vacuum spacetimes in terms of data specified on the symmetry axis, *Phys. Rev. D* **50** 4993
- [4] Ernst F J 1994 Fully electrified Neugebauer spacetimes, *Phys. Rev. D* **50** 6179
- [5] Ruiz E, Manko V S and Martín J 1995 Extended N -soliton solution of the Einstein–Maxwell equations, *Phys. Rev. D* **51** 4192
- [6] Manko V S and Ruiz E 1998 Extended multi-soliton solutions of the Einstein field equations, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **15** 2007
- [7] Manko V S, Martín J, Ruiz E, Sibgatullin N R and Zaripov M N 1994 Metric of a rotating, charged, magnetized, deformed mass, *Phys. Rev. D* **49** 5144
Manko V S, Martín J and Ruiz E 1994 Metric of a rotating, charged, magnetized, deformed mass. II, *Phys. Rev. D* **49** 5150
- [8] Manko V S, Mielke E W and Sanabria-Gómez J D 2000 Exact solution for the exterior field of a rotating neutron star, *Phys. Rev. D* **61** 081501
Manko V S, Sanabria-Gómez J D and Manko O V 2000 Nine-parameter electrovac metric involving rational functions, *Phys. Rev. D* **62** 044048
- [9] Sibgatullin N R and Sunyaev R A 1998 Disk accretion in gravitational field of a rapidly rotating neutron star with a rotationally induced quadrupole mass moment, *Astron. Lett.* **24** 774
- [10] Stute M and Camenzind M 2002 Towards a self-consistent relativistic model of the exterior gravitational field of rapidly rotating neutron stars, *MNRAS* **336** 831
- [11] Berti E and Stergioulas N 2004 Approximate matching of analytic and numerical solutions for rapidly rotating neutron stars, *MNRAS* **350** 1416
- [12] Manko V S, Martín J and Ruiz E 1995 Extended Six-parameter solution of the Einstein–Maxwell equations possessing equatorial symmetry, *J. Math. Phys.* **36** 3063
- [13] Bretón N and Manko V S 1995 A binary system of ‘antisymmetric’ Kerr–Newman masses, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **12** 1969
- [14] Manko V S, Ruiz E and Manko O V 2000 Is equilibrium of aligned Kerr black holes possible? *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85** 5504
Manko O V, Manko V S and Ruiz E 2002 Equilibrium of three collinear Kerr particles, *Phys. Rev. D* **65** 084027
- [15] Hernández-Pastora J L, Manko O V, Manko V S, Martín J and Ruiz E 2004 Equilibrium states in the quadruple–Kerr solution, *Gen. Relat. Grav.* **36** 781

- [16] Hernández–Pastora J L, Manko V S and Martín J 1993 Some asymptotically flat generalizations of the Curzon metric, *J. Math. Phys.* **34** 4760